These ratings do not reflect seismic retrofits and incremental improvements completed as a result of the 2012 school construction bond.

**2012 EXPECTED SEISMIC PERFORMANCE
RATINGS AND SEISMIC HAZARD RISK SCORES -
BASED ON STRUCTURAL DEFICIANCY-**

Facility	Risk Score	Expected Performance Rating (2)
Abernethy	2.09	Poor
Ainsworth	2.25	Poor
Ainsworth Annex	0.00	Good
Alameda	1.66	Poor
Applegate	0.00	Good
Arleta	2.37	Poor
Astor	0.00	Good
Atkinson	0.00	Good
Beach	1.77	Poor
Beaumont	2.26	Poor
Benson	1.16	Poor
Boise-Eliot	0.96	Poor
Bridger	0.00	Good
Bridlemile	0.95	Fair
Buckman	0.55	Poor
Capitol Hill	1.48	Fair
Cesar Chavez	0.48	Fair
Chapman	0.56	Fair
Chief Joseph	1.73	Poor
Clarendon	0.62	Good
Cleveland	1.52	Poor
Columbia T.C. (Pioneer)	0.63	Fair
Creative Science	1.05	Poor
Creston	1.73	Poor
Creston Annex	0.18	Good
Davinci	0.62	Fair
Duniway	2.93	Poor
East Sylvan	0.26	Fair
Edwards	0.00	Good
Faubion	0.80	Good
Fernwood (Beverly Cleary)	3.48	Poor
Forest Park	0.00	Good
Franklin	1.00	Poor
George	1.74	Fair
Glencoe	1.37	Fair
Grant	2.79	Poor
Gray	0.90	Good
Grout	1.94	Poor
Harrison Park	0.48	Good
Hayhurst	2.38	Poor
Holladay Center	0.48	Fair
Hollyrood (Beverly Cleary)	0.00	Good
Hosford	1.88	Poor

These ratings do not reflect seismic retrofits and incremental improvements completed as a result of the 2012 school construction bond.

**2012 EXPECTED SEISMIC PERFORMANCE
RATINGS AND SEISMIC HAZARD RISK SCORES -
BASED ON STRUCTURAL DEFICIANCY-**

Facility	Risk Score	Expected Performance Rating (2)
Humbolt	0.44	Good
Irvington	0.00	Fair
Jackson	3.58	Poor
James John	1.85	Poor
Jefferson	3.25	Poor
Kellogg	2.05	Poor
Kelly	0.63	Good
Kenton (Lasalle)	1.07	Fair
King	0.26	Fair
Lane	1.66	Poor
Laurelhurst	0.92	Fair
Lee	0.89	Good
Lent	0.49	Good
Lewis	1.42	Fair
Lincoln	0.84	Fair
Llewellyn	1.85	Poor
Madison	1.09	Fair
Maplewood	0.70	Good
Markham	0.98	Good
Marshall	1.01	Fair
Marysville	2.78	Poor
Meek	0.83	Fair
Metro Learning Center	1.37	Poor
Mt. Tabor	1.35	Fair
Ockley Green	1.55	Fair
Peninsula	1.71	Fair
Rice	0.48	Good
Richmond	0.77	Fair
Rieke	0.94	Good
Rigler	1.07	Fair
Roosevelt	2.57	Poor
Rosa Parks	0.00	Good
Rose City Park	2.15	Poor
Roseway Heights	0.64	Fair
Sabin	1.75	Poor
Sacajawea	0.43	Good
Scott	0.63	Fair
Sellwood	0.35	Fair
Sitton	0.79	Good
Skyline	1.72	Fair
Smith	0.00	Good
Stephenson	0.48	Good
Sunnyside	1.38	Fair

These ratings do not reflect seismic retrofits and incremental improvements completed as a result of the 2012 school construction bond.

**2012 EXPECTED SEISMIC PERFORMANCE
RATINGS AND SEISMIC HAZARD RISK SCORES -
BASED ON STRUCTURAL DEFICIANCY-**

Facility	Risk Score	Expected Performance Rating (2)
Terwilliger	0.83	Fair
Tubman	1.07	Fair
Vernon	0.00	Fair
Vestal	0.80	Fair
West Sylvan	0.80	Good
Whitman	0.56	Good
Wilcox	0.00	Good
Wilson	0.76	Fair
Winterhaven	0.80	Fair
Woodlawn	2.63	Poor
Woodmere	0.59	Good
Woodstock	0.39	Fair
Youngson (Pioneer)	1.52	Fair

<u>Key to Column Headings:</u>

Risk Score — The total facility's final risk score. Calculated by the % of maximums (HI) + (HI Ave.) + 2x (SHS). The "SHS" value is double to give higher weighting for a better

Facilities with a "0" risk score do not have any outstanding or remaining seismic upgrade work recommended by the FEMA-178 reports. A "0" does not indicate that that the school has no risk, just a low risk.

(2) Expected Performance — Expected performance ratings were determined using seismic risk score, building class, age of construction, presence of vertical and horizontal irregularities, building site, number of stories, and condition of the structural